Government of India Act 1919. (Montague-Chelms ford Reforms)

Introduced Public Service

Introduced Dyarchy.

Council Act Gave Women the right to vote.

High Commissioner office for India in London

Expanded particip Reserved & Transferred subjects.

in the Government.

CSSPMS360

Historical Background:

by Edwin Montague (Sec of state for Ind)
in year 1917)

development of institutions of India which will lead to Self_Govt.

But Lord chelmsford wanted to work on Indians participation in every aspect of government.

Chelmsford's ideas were accepted by Cabinet & Montague's plan was approved.

That is why Govt. of India Act 1919 also known as Montague? Chelmsford Reforms.

CSSPMS360

Key-Provisions:
has preamble in it.

Diarchy:
neans a dual set of got/Double gout

- Control over provinces was relaxed by separating legislative lists.

13 Central List 13 Provincial

Divisions of Subjects:
Provincial govt sub were divided 4 Reserved sub were under British Governor. 4 Transferred sub were under Indian Minister Reserved sub Transferred sub Local Self-Govt, Sanitation, Industrial Research, Public Works, establishment Justice, Press, Water Agri, Dispute, Sellement Police, Revenue, Labour of New Companies. E Prison CSSPMS360 Electoral Reforms: Communal Representation was extended

Right of vote -> limited num of people. Other: 4 Public Service Commission 4 Native Indians in executive were 3 out of 8. High Commissioner for Ind.

Legislative Reforms:

Bicameralism was introduced in Center

Lower House — 145 members = 3 years

Upper House — 60 mem. - 5 years

Council of States

Composition

Lower House

145 men

41 Nominated

104 elected

(30 Muslims)

(30 Muslims)

19 legislators -> could ask Questions
-> pass motions
-> vote a part of budget

Legislator had no power to pass bill without assent of Viceroy but Viceroy could enact.

Significance:

4 Concept of Self-Gout in Provinces
4 Gradual Introduction of Native Indians
in all institutions of Gout.
4 Right of Voting
4 Expanded the election Area.

Defects:

CSSPMS360

- A Political circles declared these reforms as inadequate & unsatisfactory.
- one group was in favour while the other was rejecting them.
- Muslim League was not very optimistic as they didn't contain any concrete suggestion of self-rule.
- of India. India